

A decomposition of Markov processes via group actions

Ming Liao¹

Summary We study a decomposition of a general Markov process in a manifold invariant under a Lie group action into a radial part (transversal to orbits) and an angular part (along an orbit). We show that given a radial path, the conditioned angular part is a nonhomogeneous Lévy process in a homogeneous space, we obtain a representation of such processes, and as a consequence, we extend the well known skew-product of Euclidean Brownian motion to a general setting.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 60J25, Secondary 58J65.

Key words and phrases Markov processes, Lévy processes, Lie groups, homogeneous spaces.

1 Introduction

It is well known that a Brownian motion x_t in $\mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) may be expressed as a skew product of a Bessel process and a spherical Brownian motion. The Bessel process $r_t = |x_t|$ is the radial part of x_t and the angular part $\theta_t = x_t/r_t$ is a time changed spherical Brownian motion. This decomposition is naturally related to the action of the rotation group $SO(n)$, the group of $n \times n$ orthogonal matrices of determinant 1, as the Brownian motion x_t has an $SO(n)$ -invariant distribution with its radial part transversal to the orbits of $SO(n)$ and angular part contained in an orbit, namely the unit sphere. More generally, it is shown in Galmarino [3] that a continuous Markov process in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with an $SO(n)$ -invariant distribution is a skew product of its radial motion and an independent spherical Brownian motion with a time change. Such a skew-product structure in connection with a group action has been noticed in literature. For example, Pauwels and Rogers [11] considered a skew-product of Brownian motion in a manifold.

In this paper, we will consider a general Markov process x_t in a smooth manifold X that has a distribution invariant under the smooth action of a Lie group K . Let Y be a submanifold of X transversal to the orbits of K . The radial part and the angular part of x_t are respectively its projections to Y and to a typical K -orbit. It is easy to show that the radial part is a Markov process in Y . Our main purpose is to study the conditioned angular process given a radial path.

In the next section, we provide the easy proof that the radial part y_t of x_t is a Markov process in Y . We also briefly discuss to what extent the process x_t is determined by its radial part. Several examples are mentioned here.

¹Department of Mathematics, Auburn University, Auburn, AL 36849, USA. Email: liaomin@auburn.edu

In order to study the angular part, we need first to introduce, in section 3, the notion of nonhomogeneous Lévy processes (processes of independent but not necessarily stationary increments) in a homogeneous space, and establish their representation in terms of a drift, a covariance operator and a Lévy measure function. This is an extension of Feinsilver's result [2] for nonhomogeneous Lévy processes in Lie groups. The arguments in [2] may be suitably modified to work on homogeneous spaces, but requires a careful formulation of a product structure on homogeneous spaces.

The angular part of x_t is introduced in section 4 as a process in a typical K -orbit which may be identified with a homogeneous space K/M . We prove that given a radial path, the conditioned angular process z_t is a nonhomogeneous Lévy process in K/M . As a consequence, we show that if x_t is continuous and if K/M is irreducible, then x_t is a skew product of its radial part and an independent Brownian motion in K/M with a time change. This is an extension of Galmarino's result to a more general setting by a conceptually more transparent proof.

In section 5, we study a class of K -invariant Markov processes in X obtained by interlacing a diffusion process with jumps. In this case, we may obtain explicit expressions for the covariance operator and the Lévy measure function of the conditioned angular process.

The skew-product decomposition of Brownian motion in Euclidean spaces or manifolds may be well known, but this is perhaps the first time when it is studied in the general setting of a Markov process in a manifold under the action of a Lie group, assuming only a simple Markov property with a possibly finite life time and some technical condition on the group action. We like to mention that it may be possible to extend our results to the action of a locally compact group K , using an extension of the representation of nonhomogeneous Lévy processes in such groups, obtained in Heyer and Pap [6], to homogeneous spaces.

2 Radial part of a Markov process

Throughout this paper, let X be a (smooth) manifold and let K be a Lie group acting (smoothly) on X . Let $C_b(X)$, $C_c(X)$ and $C_0(X)$ be the spaces of continuous functions on X that are respectively bounded, compactly supported and convergent to 0 at infinity in the one-point compactification of X . When a superscript ∞ is added, such as $C_c^\infty(X)$, it will denote the subspace of smooth functions.

Let x_t be a Markov process in X with transition semigroup P_t . By this we mean a process x_t with rcl paths (right continuous paths with left limits) that has the following simple Markov property:

$$E[f(x_{t+s}) \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = P_s f(x_t) \tag{1}$$

almost surely for $s < t$ and $f \in C_b(X)$, where $\mathcal{F}_t$ is the natural filtration of process x_t , and

for $t \geq 0$, P_t is a sub-probability kernel from X to X (that is, $P_t(x, \cdot)$ is a sub-probability measure on X for $x \in X$ and $P_t(x, B)$ is measurable in x for measurable $B \subset X$), with $P_0(x, \cdot) = \delta_x$ (unit point mass at x), such that $P_{t+s}(x, \cdot) = \int P_t(x, dy) P_s(y, \cdot)$. Note that the Markov process x_t is allowed to have a finite life time as $P_t(x, X)$ may be less than 1.

We will assume the Markov process x_t or equivalently its transition semigroup P_t is K -invariant in the sense that

$$\forall f \in C_b(X) \text{ and } k \in K, \quad P_t(f \circ k) = (P_t f) \circ k. \quad (2)$$

This means that for $k \in K$, kx_t is the same Markov process started at kx_0 (in the sense of distribution).

The Markov process x_t in X is called a Feller process if $P_t f \in C_0(X)$ for $f \in C_0(X)$ and $P_t f \rightarrow f$ uniformly as $t \rightarrow 0$. In this case, P_t is completely determined by its generator L given by $Lf = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (1/t)P_t f$ with domain $D(L)$ consisting of $f \in C_0(X)$ for which the limit exists under the sup norm. A continuous Feller process in X will be called a diffusion process in X if its generator L restricted to $C_c^\infty(X)$ is a differential operator with smooth coefficients that annihilates constants.

Let Y be a submanifold of X , possibly with a boundary, that is transversal to the action of K in the sense that it intersects each orbit of K at exactly one point, that is,

$$\forall y \in Y, \quad (Ky) \cap Y = \{y\} \quad \text{and} \quad X = \cup_{y \in Y} Ky. \quad (3)$$

Let $J: X \rightarrow Y$ be the projection map $J(x) = y$ for $x \in Ky$, which is continuous if K is compact. Note that $J \circ k = J$ for $k \in K$.

Theorem 1 $y_t = J(x_t)$ is a Markov process in Y with transition semigroup Q_t given by

$$Q_t f(y) = P_t(f \circ J)(y), \quad y \in Y \text{ and } f \in C_b(Y). \quad (4)$$

Moreover, if x_t is a Feller process in X with generator L and if K is compact, then so is y_t in Y with generator L^Y given by $(L^Y f) \circ J = L(f \circ J)$ for $f \in D(L^Y) = \{h \circ J; h \in D(L)\}$.

Proof For $f \in C_b(Y)$ and $y \in Y$,

$$\begin{aligned} E[f(y_{t+s}) \mid \mathcal{F}_t] &= E[f \circ J(x_{t+s}) \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = P_s(f \circ J)(x_t) \\ &= P_s(f \circ J \circ k^{-1})(kx_t) \quad (\text{where } k \in K \text{ is chosen such that } kx_t = y_t) \\ &= P_s(f \circ J)(y_t). \end{aligned}$$

This proves that y_t is a Markov process in Y with transition semigroup Q_t . If K is compact, $f \circ J \in C_0(X)$ for $f \in C_0(Y)$, the Feller property of y_t follows from that of x_t . $\square$

The process $y_t = J(x_t)$ in Theorem 1 will be called the radial part of process x_t (relative to K and Y). Note that for a diffusion process x_t with generator L , the generator L^Y of y_t is the radial part of the differential operator L as defined in [5].

For a measure μ and a function f on X , the integral $\int f(x)\mu(dx)$ maybe written as $\mu(f)$. For a measurable map $g: X \rightarrow X$, let $g\mu$ be the measure on X defined by $g\mu(f) = \mu(f \circ g)$.

If K is compact and the distribution μ of x_0 is K -invariant, that is, if $k\mu = \mu$ for $k \in K$, then the marginal distributions of x_t are completely determined by those of the radial part y_t . Indeed, For $f \in C_b(X)$ and $k \in K$,

$$E[f(x_t)] = \mu(P_t f) = \mu[(P_t f) \circ k] = \mu[P_t(f \circ k)] = \mu(P_t \tilde{f}) = J\mu(Q_t \tilde{f}) = E[\tilde{f}(y_t)],$$

where $\tilde{f} = \int dk(f \circ k)$, with dk being the normalized Haar measure on K , may be regarded either as a K -invariant function on X or a function on Y .

However, the distribution of the process x_t is not in general determined by the distribution of its radial part y_t . Consider a process in $\mathbb{R}^n$, when starting at a point different from the origin, it is a Bessel process in the ray containing the starting point, but when starting at the origin, it immediately chooses a ray with a uniform distribution and then performs Bessel motion along the ray. It is easy to see that this is a continuous Feller process in $\mathbb{R}^n$ that has the same radial part as a Brownian motion in $\mathbb{R}^n$, but not a Brownian motion in distribution.

The radial part determines the process x_t if the transition semigroup P_t is determined by Q_t , which will require an additional assumption. For example, let G be a Lie group acting transitively on X and containing K as a subgroup, and assume there is a point o in Y fixed by K . Then the transition semigroup P_t of a G -invariant Markov process in X is determined by $Q_t(o, \cdot)$, where Q_t is the transition semigroup of the radial part. To prove this, let x_t start at o . Then $P_t(o, \cdot)$ is determined by $Q_t(o, \cdot)$. Now the G -invariance of P_t and the transitivity of the G -action on X imply that P_t is determined by $P_t(o, \cdot)$ and hence by Q_t .

A Feller process x_t in a Lie group G with an infinite life time, invariant under left translations, will be called a Lévy process in G . Such a process possesses independent and stationary increments in the sense that for any $s < t$, $x_s^{-1}x_t$ is independent of the process up to time s and its distribution depends only on $t - s$ (see [10]). This notion extends the usual definition of Lévy processes in $\mathbb{R}^n$ regarded as an additive group.

More generally, a Feller process x_t in a homogeneous space G/K , with an infinite life time and invariant under the natural (left) action of G on G/K , where K is a compact subgroup of G , will also be called a Lévy process in G/K . It is clearly K -invariant, and by the discussion in the last paragraph, its distribution is determined by its radial part relative to any Y transversal to K that has a point fixed by K .

An explicit formula for the generator of a Lévy process in G or G/H is obtained by Hunt [7], see also [10, chapter 2]. A Lévy process in G may be characterized by a stochastic integral

equation driven by a Brownian motion and a Poisson random measure, see Applebaum and Kunita [1].

For $x \in X$, $K_x = \{k \in K; kx = x\}$ is a closed subgroup of K , called the isotropy subgroup of K at x . Let Y° be Y minus its boundary. Let X° be the union of the K -orbits that intersect Y° . This is an open dense subset of X . In order to introduce an angular part of the Markov process x_t later in section 4, we will assume that K_y is the same compact subgroup M of K as y varies over Y° . This assumption is often satisfied when the transversal submanifold Y is properly chosen. Then $X^\circ = Y^\circ \times (K/M)$ as a product manifold.

Example 1: We have mentioned earlier that the radial part of a Brownian motion x_t in $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$), under the action of $K = SO(n)$, is a Bessel process in a fixed ray Y from the origin. We may take Y to be the positive half of x_1 -axis, which is transversal to K with boundary containing only the origin. Then $M = \text{diag}\{1, SO(n-1)\}$.

Example 2: Let X be the space of $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices ($n \geq 2$) with $K = SO(n)$ acting on X by conjugation. The set Y of all $n \times n$ diagonal matrices with non-ascending diagonal elements is a submanifold of X transversal to $SO(n)$, its boundary consists of diagonal matrices with two identical diagonal elements, and M is the finite subgroup of $SO(n)$ consisting of diagonal matrices with ± 1 along diagonal. The map $J: X \rightarrow Y$ maps a symmetric matrix to the diagonal matrix of its eigenvalues in non-ascending order. Note that $X = GL(n, \mathbb{R})/SO(n)$, where $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ is the group of $n \times n$ real invertible matrices.

Example 3: Let Y be a manifold and K be a Lie group with a compact subgroup M , and let $X = Y \times (K/M)$ as a product manifold. Then K acts on X as its natural action on K/M , Y is transversal to K and M is the isotropy subgroup of K at all $y \in Y$. For example, $X = \mathbb{R}^{n+m} = Y \times K$ with $Y = \mathbb{R}^n$, $K = \mathbb{R}^m$ (additive group) and $M = \{0\}$.

Example 4: Let $X = S^n$ be the n -dimensional sphere, regarded as the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, under the natural action of $K = \text{diag}\{1, SO(n)\}$. The half circle Y connecting two poles $(\pm 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, given by $(\cos t, \sin t, 0, \dots, 0)$ for $0 \leq t \leq \pi$, is transversal to K , and $M = \text{diag}\{1, 1, SO(n-2)\}$.

Example 5: Let $X = G/K$ be a symmetric space of noncompact type, where G is a semisimple Lie group of noncompact type and of a finite center, and K is a maximal compact subgroup. Using the standard notation and results in [4], let $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{k}$ be respectively the Lie algebras of G and K , let $\mathfrak{p}$ be an $\text{Ad}(K)$ -invariant subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$ complementary to $\mathfrak{k}$, let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a maximal abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{p}$, and let $\mathfrak{a}_+$ be a fixed (open) Weyl chamber ($\subset \mathfrak{a}$). Then $Y = \exp(\overline{\mathfrak{a}_+})$ (overline denotes the closure) is a submanifold of X transversal to the action of K on G/K , its boundary is $\exp(\partial\mathfrak{a}_+)$, where $\partial\mathfrak{a}_+$ is the boundary of $\mathfrak{a}_+$, and the isotropy

subgroup M of K at any $y \in Y^\circ = \exp(\mathfrak{a}_+)$ is the centralizer M of A in K .

3 Nonhomogeneous Lévy processes

Let G be a Lie group. The convolution of two finite measures μ and ν on G is the measure $\mu * \nu$ defined by $\mu * \nu(f) = \int f(xy)\mu(dx)\nu(dy)$ for $f \in C_b(G)$. A family of probability measures μ_t , $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, on G is called a convolution semigroup if $\mu_{t+s} = \mu_t * \mu_s$ and $\mu_0 = \delta_e$, the unit point mass at the identity element e of G . It is called continuous if $\mu_t \rightarrow \mu_0$ weakly as $t \rightarrow 0$. If x_t is a Lévy process in G with transition semigroup P_t , then $\mu_t = P_t(e, \cdot)$ is a continuous convolution semigroup. Conversely, any continuous convolution semigroup is associated to a Lévy process in G in this way (see [10, chapter 1]).

Let H be a compact subgroup of G . The convolution product may be extended to the homogeneous space G/H as follows. Let $\pi: G \rightarrow G/H$ be the natural projection. A measurable map $S: G/H \rightarrow G$ is called a section map if $\pi \circ S = \text{id}_{G/H}$, the identity map on G/H . The convolution of two H -invariant finite measures μ and ν on G/H is the measure $\mu * \nu$ defined by $\mu * \nu(f) = \int f(S(x)y)\mu(dx)\nu(dy)$, which is independent of the choice of S and is H -invariant. Moreover, the convolution product is associative and hence the n -fold product $\mu_1 * \mu_2 * \dots * \mu_n$ is well defined. The convolution semigroup of H -invariant probability measures on G/H is defined as on G but replacing e by $o = eH$ (the origin of G/H). The continuous convolution semigroups on G/H are associated to Lévy processes as on G .

A process x_t in a Lie group G with rcll paths and an infinite life time is called a nonhomogeneous Lévy process if for $s < t$, its increment $x_s^{-1}x_t$ is independent of process up to time s . The distributions $\mu_{s,t}$ of the increments $x_s^{-1}x_t$, $s \leq t$, form a two-parameter convolution semigroup in the sense that for $s < t < u$, $\mu_{s,t} * \mu_{t,u} = \mu_{s,u}$ and $\mu_{t,t} = \delta_e$, which is continuous in the sense that $\mu_{s,t} \rightarrow \mu_{s,s}$ weakly as $t \downarrow s$. In fact, a nonhomogeneous Lévy process in G may be defined as a rcll process x_t such that for $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$ and $f \in C_b(G^{n+1})$,

$$E[f(x_{t_0}, x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, \dots, x_{t_n})] = \int f(x_0, x_0x_1, x_0x_1x_2, \dots, x_0x_1 \dots x_n) \mu_0(dx_0)\mu_{0,t_1}(dx_1)\mu_{t_1,t_2}(dx_2) \dots \mu_{t_{n-1},t_n}(dx_n) \quad (5)$$

for a probability measure μ_0 (distribution of x_0) and a continuous two-parameter convolution semigroup $\mu_{s,t}$ on G .

Feinsilver [2] obtained a martingale representation of such processes. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G , whose elements are identified with left invariant vector fields on G as usual, and let $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$. Choose local coordinates $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \in C_c^\infty(G)$ to satisfy $x = \exp(\sum_i \phi_i(x)\xi_i)$ for x near e . A covariance function A is a continuous $n \times n$ symmetric matrix valued function such that $A(0) = 0$ and for $s < t$, $A(t) - A(s)$ is nonnegative definite. A Lévy measure function $\Pi(t, dx)$ is a measure valued function on G such that $\Pi(0, \cdot) = 0$,

$\Pi(t, \{e\}) = 0$ and for $f \in C_b^\infty(G)$ with $f(e) = \xi_i f(e) = 0$, $\Pi(t, f)$ is finite and continuous in t . Let x_t be a nonhomogeneous Lévy process in G with $x_0 = e$. Assume x_t is stochastic continuous (that is, $x_t = x_{t-}$ almost surely for each fixed t). Then by [2], there are unique G -valued (non-random) continuous function b_t with $b_0 = e$, covariance function A and Lévy measure function Π , such that $x_t = z_t b_t$ and for $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(z_t) &= \int_0^t \int_G \{f(z_s b_s \tau b_s^{-1}) - f(z_s) - \sum_i \phi_i(\tau) [\text{Ad}(b_s) \xi_i] f(z_s)\} \Pi(ds, d\tau) \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} [\text{Ad}(b_s) \xi_i] [\text{Ad}(b_s) \xi_j] f(z_s) dA_{ij}(s) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

is a martingale. Moreover, given (b, A, Π) as above, there is a rcll process $x_t = z_t b_t$ in G with $x_0 = e$ such that (6) is a martingale for $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$. Furthermore, such a process x_t is unique in distribution and is a stochastic continuous nonhomogeneous Lévy process in G .

Note that because the exponential coordinates ϕ_i are used, $\rho_k^{ij} = \xi_i \xi_j \phi_k(e)$ satisfies $\rho_k^{ij} = -\rho_k^{ji}$, so they will not appear in (6) as in [2]. Note also that the integrand of Π -integral in (6) is the remainder of a first order Taylor expansion and hence is integrable.

Now consider the homogeneous space G/H . A point $b \in G/H$ or a subset B of G/H is called H -invariant if $hb = b$ or $hB = B$ for all $h \in H$. For $x \in G/H$, H -invariant b and B , $xb = S(x)b \in G/H$ and $xB = S(x)B \subset G/H$ are well defined because they are independent of choice for the section map S . Note that $g \in G$ with go H -invariant is characterized by $g^{-1}Hg \subset H$, and hence by $g^{-1}Hg = H$. Therefore, the set of H -invariant points in G/H is the natural projection of a closed subgroup of G containing H as a normal subgroup, and hence has a natural group structure with product $b_1 b_2 = S(b_1) b_2$ and inverse $b^{-1} = S(b)^{-1} o$ (independent of S). In general, the product $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{n-1} x_n = S(x_1) S(x_2) \cdots S(x_{n-1}) x_n$ is not well defined because it depends on the choice of S . However, if $x_2, \dots, x_n$ are independent random variables with H -invariant distributions, then the distribution of the product $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$ and also that of the sequence $y_i = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$ are independent of S , and hence such a product or sequence is meaningful in the sense of distribution.

Note that for H -invariant finite measures μ and ν on G/H , an integral like

$$\int f(xy, xyz) \mu(dy) \nu(dz) = \int f(S(x)y, S(x)S(y)z) \mu(dy) \nu(dz)$$

is well defined (independent of choice of section map S). So is $\int f(xbyb^{-1}) \mu(dy)$ if b is an H -invariant point.

A process x_t in G/H with rcll paths and an infinite life time will be called a non-homogeneous Lévy process if there is a continuous two-parameter convolution semigroups $\mu_{s,t}$ of H -invariant probability measures on G/H such that (5) holds. Then for $s < t$, $x_s^{-1} x_t = S(x_s)^{-1} x_t$ has distribution $\mu_{s,t}$ (independent of choice for section map S) and is independent of the process up to time s .

Because H is compact, there is a subspace $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ that is complementary to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of H and is $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant in the sense that $\text{Ad}(h)\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}$ for $h \in H$. Choose a basis $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ and local coordinates $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m \in C_c^\infty(G/H)$ around o on G/H such that $x = \exp(\sum_{i=1}^m \phi_i(x)\xi_i)o$ for x near o . Then

$$\forall h \in H, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \phi_i \text{Ad}(h)\xi_i = \sum_{i=1}^m (\phi_i \circ h)\xi_i \quad (7)$$

near o . The functions ϕ_i may be suitably extended so that (7) holds globally on G/H .

Any $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a left invariant vector field on G . If ξ is $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant, it may also be regarded as a vector field on G/H given by $\xi f(x) = \frac{d}{dt} f(xe^{t\xi}o) |_{t=0}$ for $f \in C^\infty(G/H)$ and $x \in G/H$ (note that $xe^{t\xi}o$ is H -invariant), which is G -invariant in the sense that $\xi(f \circ g) = (\xi f) \circ g$ for $g \in G$. In fact, any G -invariant vector field on G/H is given by an $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. Note that if $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ is $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant and $b \in G/H$ is H -invariant, then $\text{Ad}(b)\xi = \text{Ad}(S(b))\xi$ is $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant and is independent of section map S . By (7), for any H -invariant measure μ on G/H , $\int \mu(dx) \sum_i \phi_i(x)\xi_i$ is $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant, and so is $\int \mu(dx) \sum_i \phi_i(x)\text{Ad}(b)\xi_i$.

Let $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}$. With a choice of section map S , $\xi\eta$ may be regarded as a second order differential operator on G/H by setting $\xi\eta f(x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial s} f(S(x)e^{t\xi}e^{s\eta}o) |_{t=s=0}$. As in [2], it can be shown that $\xi_i \xi_j f(x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial s} f(S(x)e^{t\xi_i+s\xi_j}o) |_{t=s=0} + \sum_{k=1}^m \rho_k^{ij} \xi_k f(x)$ with $\rho_k^{ij} = -\rho_k^{ji}$. Thus, if a_{ij} is a symmetric matrix, then

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^m a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j f(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^m a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_i \partial t_j} f(S(x)e^{\sum_{p=1}^m t_p \xi_p} o) |_{t_1=\dots=t_m=0}.$$

The matrix a_{ij} is called $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant if $a_{ij} = \sum_{p,q} a_{pq} [\text{Ad}(h)]_{pi} [\text{Ad}(h)]_{qj}$ for $h \in H$, where $[\text{Ad}(h)]_{ij}$ is the matrix representing $\text{Ad}(h)$, that is, $\text{Ad}(h)\xi_j = \sum_i [\text{Ad}(h)]_{ij} \xi_i$. Then the operator $\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j$ is independent of section map S and is G -invariant. In fact, any second order G -invariant differential operator on G/H is such an operator plus a G -invariant vector field. Note that if $b \in G/H$ is H -invariant, then $\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} [\text{Ad}(b)\xi_i] [\text{Ad}(b)\xi_j] = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} [\text{Ad}(S(b))\xi_i] [\text{Ad}(S(b))\xi_j]$ is a G -invariant operator on G/H (independent of S).

A covariance function A and a Lévy measure function Π on G/H are defined as on G with the additional requirements that $A(t)$ is $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant and $\Pi(t, \cdot)$ is H -invariant. By the preceding discussion, the expression in (6) is meaningful on G/H and is independent of the choice of section map S in $\text{Ad}(b_s) = \text{Ad}(S(b_s))$. The following result is an extension of Feinsilver's martingale representation to nonhomogeneous Lévy processes in G/H .

Theorem 2 *Let x_t be a stochastic continuous nonhomogeneous Lévy process in G/H with $x_0 = o$. Then there is a unique triple (b, A, Π) of a continuous H -invariant function b_t with $b_0 = o$, a covariance function A and a Lévy measure function Π on G/H such that $x_t = z_t b_t$ and (6) is a martingale for $f \in C_c^\infty(G/H)$. Moreover, given (b, A, Π) as above, there is a*

rcll process $x_t = z_t b_t$ in G/H with $x_0 = o$ and represented by (b, A, Π) . Furthermore, such x_t is unique in distribution and is a stochastic continuous nonhomogeneous process in G/H .

Proof Fix $T > 0$. Let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n \leq T$ be a partition of $[0, T]$ with $t_{i+1} - t_i = 1/n$ and let $\mu_{ni} = \mu_{t_{i-1}, t_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. By the stochastic continuity of x_t , $\int \phi_i d\mu_{ni}$ is uniformly small in i as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $b_{ni} \in G/H$ given by $x_i(b_{ni}) = \int \phi_i d\mu_{ni}$ is well defined and is H -invariant because the H -invariance of μ_{ni} .

Define an H -invariant function $b_n(t)$ in G/H by $b_n(t) = b_{n1} b_{n2} \cdots b_{n[nt]}$ for $0 < t \leq T$ and $b_n(0) = o$, where $[nt]$ is the integer part of nt , a measure function Π_n by $\Pi_n(t, \cdot) = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \mu_{ni}$ for $0 < t \leq T$ and $\Pi(0, \cdot) = 0$, and a matrix valued function $A_n(t, U)$ of time t and measurable $U \subset G/H$ by $A_n(0, U) = 0$ and for $0 < t \leq T$,

$$[A_n(t, U)]_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} \int_U [\phi_i(x) - \phi_i(b_{nk})][\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(b_{nk})] \mu_{nk}(dx).$$

Let x_{ni} , $1 \leq i \leq n$, be independent random variables in G/H with distributions μ_{ni} . Define $x_n(t) = x_{n1} x_{n2} \cdots x_{n[nt]}$ and $z_n(t) = x_n(t) b_n(t)^{-1}$. Then as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the process $x_n(t)$ converges in distribution to x_t , and it can be shown that $b_n(t)$ converges uniformly to a continuous H -invariant function b_t , Π_n converges to a Lévy measure function Π in the sense that for any $f \in C_b(G/H)$ vanishing near o , $\Pi_n(t, f) \rightarrow \Pi(t, f)$ uniformly for $0 \leq t \leq T$, for any H -invariant neighborhood U of o which is a continuity set of $\Pi(T, \cdot)$, $[A_n(t, U)]_{ij} \rightarrow A_{ij}(t) + \int_U \phi_i(x) \phi_j(x) \Pi(t, dx)$ uniformly for $0 \leq t \leq T$, for some covariance function $A_{ij}(t)$, and $z_n(t)$ converges in distribution to a stochastic continuous process z_t for which (6) is a martingale. Thus, (b, A, Π) are the parameters in the representation of x_t . These statements and the rest of theorem may be proved by essentially repeating the proof in [2] with suitable changes, such as properly interpreting the product on G/H as discussed earlier and using H -invariant sets on G/H for various neighborhoods used in [2]. $\square$

Let x_t be a stochastic continuous nonhomogeneous Lévy process in G/H . Fix $T > 0$. We now show that for $f \in C_b(G/H)$ with support $\text{supp}(f)$ not containing o ,

$$\Pi(T, f) = E\left[\sum_{0 < t \leq T} f(x_{t-}^{-1} x_t)\right], \quad (8)$$

which is independent of choice for section map S to represent $x_{t-}^{-1} x_t = S(x_{t-})^{-1} x_t$, where the summation $\sum_{0 < t \leq T} f(x_{t-}^{-1} x_t)$ has only finitely many nonzero terms almost surely because $o \notin \text{supp}(f)$. Take a partition $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n \leq T$ of $[0, T]$ as in the proof of Theorem 2. Let ψ_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots$, be a partition of unity on G/H , that is, $0 \leq \psi_j \in C_c(G/H)$ and $\sum_j \psi_j = 1$ is a locally finite sum (that is, any point of G/H has a neighborhood on which only finitely many ψ_j are nonzero). We may assume that for each j ,

there is a section map S_j continuous on $\text{supp}(\psi_j)$. Then $E[\sum_i \psi_j(x_{t_{i-1}})f(S_j(x_{t_{i-1}})^{-1}x_{t_i})] \rightarrow E[\sum_{0 < t \leq T} \psi_j(x_{t-})f(S_j(x_{t-})^{-1}x_t)]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This holds for any section map S_j continuous on $\text{supp}(\psi_j)$, and by (5) and the H -invariance of $\mu_{s,t}$, these expressions are independent of choice of such an S_j . It then follows that $S_j(x)$ in these expressions may be replaced by $S(x)$ for any section map S . By the proof of Theorem 2 and the local finiteness of $\sum_j \psi_j = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(T, f) &\leftarrow \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \mu_{ni}(f) = \sum_j \sum_i E[\psi_j(x_{t_{i-1}})f(S(x_{t_{i-1}})^{-1}x_{t_i})] \\ &= \sum_j \sum_i E[\psi_j(x_{t_{i-1}})f(S_j(x_{t_{i-1}})^{-1}x_{t_i})] \rightarrow \sum_j E[\sum_{0 < t \leq T} \psi_j(x_{t-})f(S_j(x_{t-})^{-1}x_t)] \\ &= \sum_j E[\sum_{0 < t \leq T} \psi_j(x_{t-})f(S(x_{t-})^{-1}x_t)] = E[\sum_{0 < t \leq T} f(S(x_{t-})^{-1}x_t)]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

By (8), $\Pi(T, B)$ is the expected numbers of jumps $x_{t-}^{-1}x_t = S(x_{t-})^{-1}x_t$ in $B \subset G/H$ for $0 < t \leq T$ (independent of S). Thus, x_t is continuous if and only if $\Pi = 0$. It can be shown that the pairs $(t, x_{t-}^{-1}x_t)$ with $x_{t-}^{-1}x_t \neq o$, $0 < t \leq T$, form a Poisson random measure N on $[0, T] \times (G/H)$ with intensity measure $\Pi(dt, dx)$.

Note that if $\Pi(t, |f|) < \infty$ for any $f \in C_b(G/H)$ with $f(o) = 0$ and $t > 0$, in particular, if $\Pi(t, \cdot)$ is a finite measure, then by suitably changing the drift b_t , the term $\sum_i x_i [\text{Ad}(b_s)\xi_i]f(z_s)$ in the integrand of Π -integral in (6) may be dropped. To prove this, apply Itô's formula to $f(z_t u_t)$, where u_t is the H -invariant function in G/H determined by the ordinary differential equation $du_t = \int_0^t \int_{G/H} \Pi(ds, d\tau) \sum_i \phi_i(\tau) [\text{Ad}(b_s)\xi_i](u_s)$ and $u_0 = o$.

The Lévy measure function Π is clearly independent of the choice for basis $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m$ of $\mathfrak{p}$. Although the covariance function A depend on the basis, its uniqueness under a given basis implies that the differential operator $V(t) = (1/2) \sum_{i,j=1}^m A_{ij}(t) \xi_i \xi_j$ on G/H is independent of the basis. The operator $V(t)$ will be called the covariance operator of x_t , which together with b_t and $\Pi(t, \cdot)$ determines the distribution of the process x_t completely.

In general, a nonhomogeneous Lévy process x_t in G/H may have a fixed jump, that is, $P(x_{t-} \neq x_t) > 0$ for some $t > 0$. Suppose x_t have only finitely many fixed jumps at times $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k$. As jumps $x_{t-}^{-1}x_t$ for $t = t_j$ are independent of the rest of process x_t , they may be easily removed to obtain a stochastic continuous nonhomogeneous Lévy process x'_t . The triple (b, A, Π) (or (b, V, Π) with V in place of A) of x'_t will also be called the drift, covariance function (or covariance operator) and Lévy measure function of x_t , which together with the distributions of fixed jumps determine the distribution of process x_t completely.

A consequence of Theorem 2 is that a nonhomogeneous Lévy process x_t in G/H is the projection of such a process g_t in G which is H -conjugate invariant in the sense that for any $h \in H$, the process $c_h(g_t)$ has the same distribution as g_t , where $c_h: G \ni g \mapsto hgh^{-1} \in G$ is the conjugation map. However, such g_t is not unique in distribution. A similar result for (homogeneous) Lévy processes are obtained in [10, chapter 2].

Corollary 1 *Let x_t be a stochastic continuous nonhomogeneous Lévy process in G/H with $x_0 = o$. Then there is a stochastic continuous H -conjugate invariant Lévy process g_t in G with $g_0 = e$ such that the two processes x_t and $g_t o$ are identical in distribution.*

Proof Let (b, A, Π) be the representation of x_t in Theorem 2. There is a continuous G -valued function b'_t in G with $b'_0 = e$ and $b_t = b'_t o$. Let $\hat{b}_t = \int_H h b'_t h^{-1} dh$, where dh is the normalized Haar measure on H . Then $\hat{b}_t$ is a continuous H -conjugate invariant function in G with $\hat{b}_0 = e$ and $b_t = \hat{b}_t o$. The basis $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ may be extended to be a basis $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\xi_{m+1}, \dots, \xi_n$ form a basis of $\mathfrak{h}$. The covariance function $A_{ij}(t)$ on G/H may be regarded as covariance function on G with $A_{ij}(t) = 0$ if either $i > m$ or $j > m$. Let S be a section map satisfying $S(x) = \exp[\sum_{i=1}^m \phi_i(x) \xi_i]$ for x near o , and let $\hat{\Pi}(t, \cdot)$ be defined by $\hat{\Pi}(t, f) = \int_H f(h S(x) h^{-1}) dh \Pi(t, dx)$ for $f \in C_b(G)$. Then $\hat{\Pi}(t, \cdot)$ is a H -conjugate invariant Lévy measure function on G (that is, $c_h \hat{\Pi}(t, \cdot) = \hat{\Pi}(t, \cdot)$ for $h \in H$). The nonhomogeneous Lévy process g_t in G with $g_0 = e$ and representation $(\hat{b}, A, \hat{\Pi})$ satisfies $x_t = g_t o$ in distribution because $(\hat{b}, A, \hat{\Pi})$ on G project to (b, A, Π) on G/H , and is H -conjugate invariant because both $\hat{b}_t$ and $\hat{\Pi}(t, \cdot)$ are H -conjugate invariant, and $A(t)$ is $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant. $\square$

4 Angular part

Recall that x_t is a Markov process in a manifold X invariant under the action of a Lie group K , Y is a submanifold transversal to K with interior Y° , M is the compact isotropy subgroup at every point of Y° , and X° is the union of K -orbits through Y° with $X^\circ = Y^\circ \times (K/M)$.

The exit time ζ of process x_t from X° is the stopping time when x_t together with its left limit first leaves X° or reaches its life time ξ . More precisely, it is defined by

$$\zeta = \inf\{t > 0; \quad x_t \notin X^\circ, \quad x_{t-} \notin X^\circ \text{ or } t \geq \xi\}, \quad (9)$$

with $\inf$ of an empty set defined to be ∞ . The exit time of y_t from Y° is also denoted by ζ . Fix $T > 0$. Because the process x_t has rcll paths, it may be regarded as a random variable in the space $D_T(X)$ of rcll maps: $[0, T] \rightarrow X^\circ$, equipped with Skorohod topology. Let P_x be the distribution on $D_T(X)$ associated to the process x_t starting at $x \in X$. Its total mass may be less than 1 because x_t may have a finite life time.

For $y \in Y^\circ$ and $z \in K/M$, $zy = S(z)y \in X^\circ$ is well defined and is independent of choice of section map $S: K/M \rightarrow K$. Let $x_t = z_t y_t$ be the decomposition of the process x_t with $x_0 \in X^\circ$ and $t < \zeta$. Then y_t is the radial part as defined before, and z_t is a process in K/M with rcll paths and will be called the angular part of x_t .

Recall J is the projection map $X \ni x \mapsto y \in Y$. Let J_2 be the projection map $X^\circ \ni x \mapsto z \in K/M$ associated to the decomposition $x = zy$. We will also use J and J_2 to denote

the maps $J: D_T(X) \ni x(\cdot) \mapsto y(\cdot) \in D_T(Y)$ and $J_2: D_T(X^\circ) \ni x(\cdot) \mapsto z(\cdot) \in D_T(K/M)$ respectively given by the decomposition $x(\cdot) = z(\cdot)y(\cdot)$.

Let $\mathcal{F}_{0,T}^Y = \sigma\{y_t; 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ be the σ -algebra generated by the radial process y_t for $0 \leq t \leq T$, which may be regarded as a σ -algebra on $D_T(Y)$ and induces the σ -algebra $J^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_{0,T}^Y)$ on $D_T(X)$. By the existence of regular conditional distributions (see for example [8, chapter 5]), there is a probability kernel $R_z^{y(\cdot)}$ from $D_T(Y^\circ) \times (K/M)$ to $D_T(K/M)$ such that for any $x \in X^\circ$ and measurable $F \subset D_T(K/M)$,

$$R_{J_2(x)}^{J[x(\cdot)]}(F) = P_x[J_2^{-1}(F) \mid J^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_{0,T}^Y)] \quad \text{for } P_x\text{-almost all } x(\cdot) \text{ in } [\zeta > T] \subset D_T(X^\circ). \quad (10)$$

The probability measure $R_z^{y(\cdot)}$ is the conditional distribution of the angular process z_t given a radial path $y(\cdot)$ in $D_T(Y^\circ)$ and $z_0 = z$.

Theorem 3 *Fix $T > 0$. Almost surely on $[\zeta > T]$, given a radial path y_t for $0 \leq t \leq T$, the conditioned angular process z_t is a nonhomogeneous Lévy process in K/M . More precisely, this means that for $y \in Y^\circ$, $z \in K/M$, and JP_y -almost all $y(\cdot)$ in $[\zeta > T] \subset D_T(Y^\circ)$, the angular process z_t is a nonhomogeneous Lévy process under $R_z^{y(\cdot)}$.*

Proof For $x \in X^\circ$, let $\tilde{P}_t(x, B) = P_x\{[x_t \in B] \cap [\zeta > t]\}$ for measurable $B \subset X^\circ$. By the simple Markov property of x_t , it is easy to show that $\tilde{P}_t$ is the transition semigroup of the Markov process x_t for $t < \zeta$ and it is K -invariant. Similarly, let $\tilde{Q}_t$ be the transition semigroup of y_t for $t < \zeta$. Then $\tilde{P}_t(x, \cdot)$ and $\tilde{Q}_t(y, \cdot)$ are respectively sub-probability kernels from X° to $X^\circ = Y^\circ \times (K/M)$ and from Y° to Y° . By the existence of a regular conditional distribution, there is a probability kernel $R_t(y, y_1, \cdot)$ from $(Y^\circ)^2$ to K/M such that for $y \in Y^\circ$, $\tilde{P}_t(y, dy_1 \times dz_1) = \tilde{Q}_t(y, dy_1)R_t(y, y_1, dz_1)$. The K -invariance of $\tilde{P}_t$ implies that the measure $R_t(y, y_1, \cdot)$ is M -invariant for $Q_t(y, \cdot)$ -almost all y_1 . Modifying R_t on an exceptional set of zero $Q_t(y, \cdot)$ -measure, we may assume $R_t(y, y_1, \cdot)$ is M -invariant for all $y, y_1 \in Y^\circ$. Therefore, for $z \in K/M$, it is meaningful to write $R_t(y, y_1, z^{-1}dz_1) = R_t(y, y_1, S(z)^{-1}dz_1)$ because it is independent of choice of section map S . We then have

$$\forall y \in Y^\circ \text{ and } z \in K/M, \quad \tilde{P}_t(zy, dy_1 \times dz_1) = \tilde{Q}_t(y, dy_1)R_t(y, y_1, z^{-1}dz_1). \quad (11)$$

For $0 < s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_k < \infty$, $y \in Y^\circ$, $z \in K/M$, $h \in C_b(Y^k)$ and $f \in C_b((K/M)^k)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{zy}[h(y_{s_1}, \dots, y_{s_k})f(z_{s_1}, \dots, z_{s_k}); \zeta > s_k] \\ &= \int \int \tilde{P}_{s_1}(zy, dy_1 \times dz_1) \tilde{P}_{s_2-s_1}(z_1y_1, dy_2 \times dz_2) \cdots \tilde{P}_{s_k-s_{k-1}}(z_{k-1}y_{k-1}, dy_k \times dz_k) \\ & \quad h(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k)f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) \\ &= \int \tilde{Q}_{s_1}(y, dy_1) \tilde{Q}_{s_2-s_1}(y_1, dy_2) \cdots \tilde{Q}_{s_k-s_{k-1}}(y_{k-1}, dy_k) h(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k) \\ & \quad \int R_{s_1}(y, y_1, dz_1) R_{s_2-s_1}(y_1, y_2, dz_2) \cdots R_{s_k-s_{k-1}}(y_{k-1}, y_k, dz_k) f(zz_1, zz_1z_2, \dots, zz_1 \cdots z_k) \end{aligned}$$

$$= E_y[h(y_{s_1}, y_{s_2}, \dots, y_{s_k}) \int R_{s_1}(y, y_{s_1}, dz_1) R_{s_2-s_1}(y_{s_1}, y_{s_2}, dz_2) \cdots R_{s_k-s_{k-1}}(y_{s_{k-1}}, y_{s_k}, dz_k) f(z z_1, z z_1 z_2, \dots, z z_1 \cdots z_k); \zeta > s_k].$$

This implies that on $[\zeta > s_k]$,

$$E_{zy}[f(z_{s_1}, \dots, z_{s_k}) \mid y_{s_1}, \dots, y_{s_k}] = \int R_{s_1}(y, y_{s_1}, dz_1) R_{s_2-s_1}(y_{s_1}, y_{s_2}, dz_2) \cdots R_{s_k-s_{k-1}}(y_{s_{k-1}}, y_{s_k}, dz_k) f(z z_1, z z_1 z_2, \dots, z z_1 \cdots z_k). \quad (12)$$

Let Γ be the set of dyadic numbers $i/2^m$ for integers $i \geq 0$ and $m > 0$. For the moment, assume $T \in \Gamma$. For $s, t \in \Gamma$ with $s < t \leq T$, let $s = s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_k$ be a partition of $[0, T]$ spaced by $1/2^m$ with $s = s_i$ and $t = s_j$, and let

$$\mu_{s,t}^m = R_{s_{i+1}-s_i}(y_{s_i}, y_{s_{i+1}}, \cdot) * R_{s_{i+2}-s_{i+1}}(y_{s_{i+1}}, y_{s_{i+2}}, \cdot) * \cdots * R_{s_j-s_{j-1}}(y_{s_{j-1}}, y_{s_j}, \cdot).$$

By (12), M -invariance of $P_t(y, y_1, \cdot)$ and the measurability of $\mu_{s,t}^m$ in $y_{s_i}, \dots, y_{s_j}$,

$$\mu_{s,t}^m(f) = E_{zy}[f(z_s^{-1} z_t) \mid y_{s_1}, \dots, y_{s_k}] = E_{zy}[f(z_s^{-1} z_t) \mid y_{s_i}, \dots, y_{s_j}] \text{ on } [\zeta > T] \quad (13)$$

for $f \in C_b(K/M)$, which is independent of the choice for section map S to represent $z_s^{-1} z_t = S(z_s)^{-1} z_t$. By the right continuity of y_t , as $m \rightarrow \infty$, $\sigma(y_{s_1}, \dots, y_{s_k}) \uparrow \mathcal{F}_{0,T}^Y$ and $\sigma\{y_{s_i}, \dots, y_{s_j}\} \uparrow \mathcal{F}_{s,t}^Y$, it follows that as $m \rightarrow \infty$, almost surely, $\mu_{s,t}^m \rightarrow \mu_{s,t}$ weakly for some M -invariant probability measure $\mu_{s,t}$ on K/M such that

$$\forall f \in C_b(K/M), \quad \mu_{s,t}(f) = E_{zy}[f(z_s^{-1} z_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{0,T}^Y] = E_{zy}[f(z_s^{-1} z_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s,t}^Y] \text{ on } [\zeta > T]. \quad (14)$$

Note that $\mu_{s,t}$ is an $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}^Y$ -measurable random measure independent of starting point zy . Because Γ is countable, the exception set of probability zero in the above almost sure convergence may be chosen simultaneously for all $s < t$ in Γ . Moreover, for $t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n$ of $[0, T]$ in Γ , it can be shown from (12) and by choosing a partition $s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_k$ from Γ containing all t_i that almost surely on $[\zeta > T]$, for $f \in C_b((K/M)^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{zy}[f(z_{t_1}, \dots, z_{t_n}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{0,T}^Y] &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} E_{zy}[f(z_{t_1}, \dots, z_{t_n}) \mid y_{s_1}, \dots, y_{s_k}] \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int f(z z_1, z z_1 z_2, \dots, z z_1 \cdots z_n) \mu_{0,t_1}^m(dz_1) \mu_{t_1,t_2}^m(dz_2) \cdots \mu_{t_{n-1},t_n}^m(dz_n). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that almost surely on $[\zeta > T]$, for $0 \leq t_1 < \cdots < t_n \leq T$ in Γ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{zy}[f(z_{t_1}, \dots, z_{t_n}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{0,T}^Y] \\ = \int f(z z_1, z z_1 z_2, \dots, z z_1 \cdots z_n) \mu_{0,t_1}(dz_1) \mu_{t_1,t_2}(dz_2) \cdots \mu_{t_{n-1},t_n}(dz_n). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

In particular, $\mu_{s,t}$ for $s < t$ in Γ form a two-parameter convolution semigroup on K/M . To prove that the conditioned process z_t is a nonhomogeneous Lévy processes in K/M , it remains to extend $\mu_{s,t}$ in (14) to all real $s < t \leq T$ and prove (15) for real $0 \leq t_1 < \cdots < t_n \leq T$.

Let $f \in C_b(K/M)$. By the right continuity of z_t and (14), for $s \in \Gamma$ and real t with $s < t < T$, $\mu_{s,t}$ may be defined as the weak limit of $\mu_{s,t_n} = P[S(z_s)^{-1}z_{t_n} \in \cdot \mid \mathcal{F}_{0,T}^Y]$ as $\Gamma \ni t_n \downarrow t$, which is independent of section map S . For a real s , choose $\Gamma \ni s_n \downarrow s$. We can show $\mu_{s_n,t}(f) = E[f(S(z_{s_n})^{-1}z_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{0,T}^Y] \rightarrow E[f(S(z_s)^{-1}z_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{0,T}^Y]$ by using a partition of unity $\{\psi_j\}$ and section map S_j continuous on $\text{supp}(\psi_j)$ as in the proof of (8) in section 3. We can then define $\mu_{s,t}(f) = \lim_n \mu_{s_n,t}(f)$. Note that no additional exceptional set is produced in taking these limits. It is easy to see that $\mu_{s,t}$ for real $0 < s < t$ form a two-parameter convolution semigroup on K/M for which (14) and (15) hold. To show the conclusions are valid for any real $T > 0$, we may choose $T_m \in \Gamma$ with $T_m \downarrow T$. $\square$

Because the natural action of M on K/M fixes o , it induces an action on the tangent space $T_o(K/M)$ at o . The homogeneous space K/M will be called irreducible if the action of M on $T_o(K/M)$ is irreducible (that is, it has no nontrivial invariant subspace). Among the examples mentioned in section 2, K/M is irreducible in Examples 1 and 4, and in Example 3 if it is chosen to be so, and in Example 5 if the symmetric space G/K is of rank 1 (see [5]).

If K/M is irreducible, then, up to a constant multiple, there is a unique M -invariant inner product on $T_o(K/M)$ (see for example Appendix 5 in [9]), and hence, there is a unique second order K -invariant differential operator on K/M . By choosing a K -invariant Riemannian metric on K/M , which is unique up to a constant factor, any such operator is a multiple of the Laplace-Beltrami operator $\Delta_{K/M}$ on K/M . The following result is an extension of Galmarino's result mentioned in section 1.

Theorem 4 *Assume K/M is irreducible. If x_t is a continuous K -invariant Markov process in X with radial part y_t in Y , then there are a Brownian motion $B(t)$ in K/M under a K -invariant Riemannian metric, independent of process x_t , and a real continuous non-decreasing process a_t , with $a_0 = 0$ and $a_t - a_s$ $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}^Y$ -measurable for $s < t$, such that the two processes x_t and $B(a_t)y_t$, $t < \zeta$, are identical in distribution.*

Proof By Theorem 3, given a radial path y_t for $0 \leq t \leq T$ with $[\zeta > T]$, the conditioned angular process z_t is a continuous nonhomogeneous Lévy process in K/M . Let (b, A, Π) be its representation in Theorem 2. By the irreducibility of K/M , there is no nonzero M -invariant tangent vector at o , and hence, there is no M -invariant point near o except o . This implies that the drift $b_t = o$. Because z_t is continuous, $\Pi = 0$. Because $\sum_{i,j} A_{ij}(t)\xi_i\xi_j$ is a K -invariant second order differential operator on K/M , it must be equal to $a_t\Delta_{K/M}$ for a continuous non-decreasing function a_t with $a_0 = 0$. By (14), $\mu_{s,t}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}^Y$ -measurable. From the construction of $A(t)$ from $\mu_{s,t}$ in the proof of Theorem 2, it is seen that $a_t - a_s$ is $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}^Y$ -measurable. Let $B(t)$ be a Brownian motion in K/M independent of process x_t . It is enough to show that the conditioned process z_t is equal to the time-changed Brownian motion $B(a_t)$ in distribution. For $f \in C_b(K/M)$, $f(B(t)) - \int_0^t \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{K/M}f(B(s))ds$ is a martingale, and hence,

$f(B(a_t)) - \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{K/M} f(B(a_s)) da_s$ is a martingale. On the other hand, for the conditioned process z_t , $f(z_t) - \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{K/M} f(z_s) da_s$ is a martingale. The uniqueness of the process with the given representation (b, A, Π) implies $z_t = B(a_t)$ in distribution. $\square$

5 A class of K -invariant Markov processes

In this section, we will study a type K -invariant Markov process x_t in X which is obtained from a K -invariant diffusion process interlaced with jumps.

We first consider a K -invariant diffusion process x_t^0 in X . Its generator takes the following form in local coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_n$ on X :

$$L^0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \quad (16)$$

for some $c_{ij}, c_i \in C^\infty(X)$ with c_{ij} forming a nonnegative definite symmetric matrix.

At $x = zy \in X^\circ$, we may assume $y_1 = x_1, \dots, y_q = x_q$ form local coordinates in Y° around y and $z_1 = x_{q+1}, \dots, z_p = x_n$ form local coordinates in $Z = K/M$ around z , where $n = p + q$, $q = \dim(Y)$ and $p = \dim(Z)$. Recall that the radial part $L^{0,Y}$ of the operator L^0 is given by $(L^{0,Y} f) \circ J = L^0(f \circ J)$ for $f \in C_c^\infty(Y)$. This implies that $L^{0,Y} = (1/2) \sum_{i,j=1}^q c_{ij}(y) \partial^2 / (\partial y_i \partial y_j) + \sum_{i=1}^q c_i(y) \partial / \partial y_i$, thus, $c_{ij}, c_i \in C^\infty(Y^\circ)$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, q$. Note that the sum of mixed partials in L^0 , $\sum_{i=1}^q \sum_{j=1}^p c_{ij}(y, z) \partial^2 / (\partial y_i \partial z_j)$, is independent of the choice of local coordinates $y_1, \dots, y_q$ on Y° and $z_1, \dots, z_p$ on Z .

At least locally, there are (smooth) vector fields $\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_q$ on Y° such that $L^{0,Y} = (1/2) \sum_{i=1}^q \zeta_i \zeta_i + \zeta_0$. We will assume $L^{0,Y}$ is nondegenerate on Y° in the sense that the symmetric matrix formed by its second order coefficients $c_{ij}(y)$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, q$, is positive definite at all $y \in Y^\circ$. This is independent of choice for local coordinates. Then $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_q$ are linearly independent at each point of Y° , and hence the sum of mixed partials in L^0 , $\sum_{i=1}^q \sum_{j=1}^p c_{ij}(y, z) \partial^2 / (\partial y_i \partial z_j)$, may be written as $\sum_{i=1}^q \eta_i \zeta_i$ for uniquely determined vector fields $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_q$ on Z that may depend on $y \in Y$. The K -invariance of L^0 and the linear independence of $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_q$ imply that η_i are K -invariant vector fields on Z . We have $L^0 = (1/2) \sum_{i=1}^q (\zeta_i + \eta_i)^2 + \zeta_0 + L'$ for some K -invariant second order differential operator L' on Z that may depend on $y \in Y$.

We may choose vector fields $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_q, \theta_{q+1}, \dots, \theta_{q+p}, \theta_0$ on $Y^\circ \times Z$ such that $L^0 = (1/2) \sum_{i=1}^{q+p} \theta_i \theta_i + \theta_0$. Let $(\theta_i)_Y$ and $(\theta_i)_Z$ be respectively the components of θ_i tangent to Y° and Z . After an orthogonal transformation of $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{q+p}$, which may depend on (y, z) , we may assume that $(\theta_i)_Y = 0$ for $i = q+1, \dots, q+p$. Then $(1/2) \sum_{i=1}^q (\theta_i)_Y (\theta_j)_Y + (\theta_0)_Y = (1/2) \sum_{i=1}^q \zeta_i \zeta_j + \zeta_0$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, q$. It follows that after an orthogonal transformation of $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_q$, which may depend on y , we may assume that $(\theta_i)_Y = \zeta_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq q$. Then

by the discussion in the previous paragraph, $(\theta_i)_Z = \eta_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq q$. It follows that the second order terms in L' are the same as those in $(1/2) \sum_{i=q+1}^{q+p} \theta_i \theta_i$ and hence the coefficient matrix of the second order terms in L' is nonnegative definite.

Let $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ be respectively the Lie algebras of K and M , and let $\mathfrak{p}$ be an $\text{Ad}(M)$ -invariant subspace of $\mathfrak{k}$ complementary to $\mathfrak{m}$. Choose a basis $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_p$ of $\mathfrak{p}$. As discussed in section 3, $\text{Ad}(M)$ -invariant vectors in $\mathfrak{k}$ may be identified with K -invariant vector fields on $Z = K/M$, and as a K -invariant second order differential operator, $L' = (1/2) \sum_{i,j=1}^p a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j + \xi_0$ for an $\text{Ad}(M)$ -invariant matrix a_{ij} (symmetric and nonnegative definite) and an $\text{Ad}(M)$ -invariant $\xi_0 \in \mathfrak{p}$, both may depend on $y \in Y$. The generator L^0 may now be written as

$$L^0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^q (\zeta_i + \eta_i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^p a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j + \zeta_0 + \xi_0 = L^{0,Y} + L^{YZ} + L_1^Z + L_2^Z \quad (17)$$

where $L^{0,Y} = (1/2) \sum_{i=1}^q \zeta_i^2 + \zeta_0$ is the radial part of L^0 , $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_q, \xi_0$ are K -invariant vector fields on Z which may depend on $y \in Y$, $L^{YZ} = \sum_{i=1}^q \eta_i \zeta_i$ is the part of L^0 containing mixed partials, $L_1^Z = (1/2) \sum_{i=1}^q \eta_i^2 + \xi_0$ and $L_2^Z = (1/2) \sum_{i,j=1}^p a_{ij}(y) \xi_i \xi_j$. The components L^{YZ} , L_1^Z and L_2^Z of operator L^0 are uniquely determined. To show this, note that a different choice for $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_q$ leads to an orthogonal transform of these vector fields and the transposed transform of $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_q$. This implies that L^{YZ} and L_1^Z , and hence L_2^Z , are not changed. We may write $L_2^Z(y)$ for L_2^Z to indicate its dependence on $y \in Y$ and similarly for L^{YZ} and L_1^Z .

Let σ_{ij} be the square root matrix of a_{ij} , that is, $a_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^p \sigma_{ki} \sigma_{kj}$. Then $L_2^Z = (1/2) \sum_{i=1}^p (\sum_{j=1}^p \sigma_{ij} \xi_j)^2$. The K -invariant vector fields $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_q, \xi_0$ on $Z = K/M$ are the projections of left invariant vector fields $\hat{\eta}_1, \dots, \hat{\eta}_q, \hat{\xi}_0$ on K which are $\text{Ad}(M)$ -invariant at e . Replacing η_i and ξ_0 by $\hat{\eta}_i$ and $\hat{\xi}_0$, then L^0 given by (17) becomes

$$\hat{L} = (1/2) \sum_{i=1}^q (\zeta_i + \hat{\eta}_i)^2 + (1/2) \sum_{i=1}^p (\sum_{j=1}^p \sigma_{ij} \xi_j)^2 + \zeta_0 + \hat{\xi}_0.$$

This is a differential operator on $Y^\circ \times K$ and is the generator of the diffusion process (y_t^0, k_t) that solves the following sde (stochastic differential equation) of Stratonovich form on $Y^\circ \times K$.

$$\begin{cases} dy_t^0 &= \sum_{i=1}^q \zeta_i(y_t^0) \circ dB_t^i + \zeta_0(y_t^0) dt \\ dk_t &= \sum_{i=1}^q \hat{\eta}_i(y_t^0, k_t) \circ dB_t^i + \hat{\xi}_0(y_t^0, k_t) dt + \sum_{i=1}^p [\sum_{j=1}^p \sigma_{ij}(y_t^0) \xi_j(k_t)] \circ dB_t^{q+i} \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

with $y_0^0 = y$ and $k_0 = e$, where $B_t = (B_t^1, \dots, B_t^n)$ is an n -dim standard Brownian motion. Because, for $f \in C_c^\infty(Y^\circ \times Z)$, $(Lf) \circ (\text{id}_Y \times \pi) = \hat{L}[f \circ (\text{id}_Y \times \pi)]$, where $\pi: K \rightarrow Z = K/M$ is the natural projection, it follows that $x_t^0 = k_t y_t^0$ with radial part y_t^0 and angular part $z_t^0 = k_t o$. The radial part y_t^0 is assumed to be nondegenerate in the sense that its generator $L^{0,Y}$ is nondegenerate on Y° .

Let $k_t = u_t a_t$. Suppose u_t and a_t , with $a_0 = u_0 = e$, solve the following sde on K .

$$\begin{cases} da_t &= \sum_{i=1}^q \hat{\eta}_i(y_t^0, a_t) \circ dB_t^i + \hat{\xi}_0(y_t^0, a_t) dt \\ du_t &= \sum_{i=1}^p \{ \sum_{j=1}^p \sigma_{ij}(y_t^0) [\text{Ad}(a_t) \xi_j](u_t) \} \circ dB_t^{q+i}, \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

Then, writing $k\xi$ or ξk for left or right translations of a left invariant vector field ξ on K by $k \in K$, and noting $k\xi(k') = kk'\xi(e) = \xi(kk')$ and $[\text{Ad}(k)\xi](k') = k'k\xi(e)k^{-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} dk_t &= (\circ du_t)a_t + u_t \circ da_t \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^p \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^p \sigma_{ij}(y_t^0) [\text{Ad}(a_t)\xi_j](u_t) \right\} a_t \circ dB_t^{q+i} + \sum_{i=1}^q u_t \hat{\eta}_i(y_t^0, a_t) \circ dB_t^i + u_t \hat{\xi}_0(y_t^0, a_t) dt \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^p \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^p \sigma_{ij}(y_t^0) \xi_j(u_t a_t) \right\} \circ dB_t^{q+i} + \sum_{i=1}^q \hat{\eta}_i(y_t^0, u_t a_t) \circ dB_t^i + \hat{\xi}_0(y_t^0, u_t a_t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the second equation in (18) is equivalent to (19).

Because $\hat{\eta}_i$ and $\hat{\xi}_0$ are left invariant vector fields on K that are also right M -invariant, a_t in the first equation of (19) may be replaced by either $a_t m$ or ma_t for any $m \in M$. The uniqueness of solution implies that $a_t m = ma_t$ for all $m \in M$. Therefore, $b_t = a_t o$ is an M -invariant continuous process in Z with $b_0 = o$. Let $v_t = u_t o$. Then $z_t^0 = u_t a_t o = v_t b_t$.

By the first equations in (18) and (19), and the nondegeneracy of $L^{0,Y}$, the σ -algebras generated by the radial process y_t^0 and by the q -dim Brownian motion $(B_t^1, \dots, B_t^q)$ are the same and contain the σ -algebra generated by a_t . Thus, given radial process y_t^0 , a_t and hence b_t become non-random. By the second equation in (19), the Itô formula, and the independence between $(B_t^1, \dots, B_t^q)$ and $(B_t^{q+1}, \dots, B_t^n)$, for $f \in C_c^\infty(K)$,

$$f(u_t) - \int_0^t (1/2) \sum_{i,j=1}^p a_{ij}(y_s^0) [\text{Ad}(a_s)\xi_i][\text{Ad}(a_s)\xi_j] f(u_s) ds \quad (20)$$

is a martingale given process y_t^0 . Then, replacing u_t and a_t by v_t and b_t , the above is still a martingale for $f \in C_c^\infty(Z)$ given process y_t^0 . Recall ζ is the exit time of the radial process from Y° . The above shows that almost surely on $[\zeta > T]$, given y_t^0 for $0 \leq t \leq T$, the conditioned angular process z_t^0 , as a continuous nonhomogeneous Lévy process in $Z = K/M$, has drift b_t and covariance function $A_{ij}(t) = \int_0^t a_{ij}(y_s^0) ds$ under basis $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_p$ on $\mathfrak{p}$. Its covariance operator is thus $\int_0^t L_2^Z(y_s^0) ds = \int_0^t ds \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(y_s^0) \xi_i \xi_j$.

Let G be a topological group acting on X continuously and containing K as a topological subgroup. A measure μ on G is said to be K -conjugate invariant if $c_k \mu = \mu$ for $k \in K$, where c_k is the conjugation map: $G \ni g \rightarrow kgk^{-1} \in G$.

Now let x_t be a process in X obtained from a K -invariant diffusion process x_t^0 in X interlaced with jumps determined by a Poisson random measure N on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times G$ of intensity measure $dt\eta(d\sigma)$, where η is a finite K -conjugate invariant measure on G . The process x_t may be constructed as follows. Let γ_n be positive random variables of a common exponential distribution of mean $1/\eta(G)$ and let σ_n be random variables in G of common distribution $\eta/\eta(G)$, all independent of each other and of process x_t^0 , and let $T_n = \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_n$ with $T_0 = 0$. Then the process x_t is obtained by setting $x_t = x_t^0$ for $t < T_1$ and inductively setting $x_t = x_t^n$ for $T_n \leq t < T_{n+1}$, where x_t^n is x_t^0 determined by the initial condition $x_{T_n}^n = \sigma_n(x_{T_n}^0)$.

It is easy to see that x_t defined above is a Markov process in X and its transition semigroup P_t is the solution of the following integral equation: for $f \in C_0(X)$,

$$\forall x \in X, \quad P_t f(x) = e^{-\lambda t} P_t^0 f(x) + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda u} du \int_G \int_X P_u^0(x, dx_1) P_{t-u} f(\sigma x_1) \eta(d\sigma) \quad (21)$$

with $P_0 f = f$, where $\lambda = \eta(G)$ and P_t^0 is the transition semigroup of x_t^0 (a Feller semigroup). The existence of the solution $P_t f$ and the Feller property of P_t can be established by a routine argument of successive approximation, and the uniqueness of solution can be shown by a simple estimate on the difference between two possible solutions. By the uniqueness of P_t , the K -invariance of P_t^0 and the K -conjugate invariance of η , it is easy to show that P_t is K -invariant. Thus, x_t is a K -invariant Feller process in X . Moreover, by differentiating (21) at $t = 0$, we obtain its generator L on $f \in C_c^\infty(X)$:

$$L f(x) = L^0 f(x) + \int_G [f(\sigma x) - f(x)] \eta(d\sigma), \quad (22)$$

where L^0 is the generator of the K -invariant diffusion process x_t^0 .

We need some notation in order to describe the Lévy measure function of the conditioned angular process of x_t . Recall J and J_2 are the projection maps $X \rightarrow Y$ and $X^\circ \rightarrow Z = K/M$. For a finite measure μ on X and $y \in Y$, let $\mu(\cdot | y)$ be the conditional distribution of μ given the orbit Ky , which is the regular conditional distribution of a random variable x with distribution $\mu/\mu(X)$ given $Jx = y$ and is a probability measure supported by Ky . Note that if $\mu(Ky) > 0$, then $\mu(\cdot | y) = \mu(\cdot; Ky)/\mu(Ky)$, where $\mu(\cdot; Ky)$ is the restriction of μ to Ky , but even if $\mu(Ky) = 0$, $\mu(\cdot | y)$ is still defined for $J\mu$ -almost all y . If $y \in Y^\circ$, then $\mu(\cdot | y)$ is supported by X° and hence J_2 may be applied to obtain a probability measure $J_2\mu(\cdot | y)$ on Z . For $x \in X$, let i_x be the evaluation map: $G \ni g \mapsto gx \in X$ and for any measure η on G , let $\eta(x)$ be the measure $i_x\eta$ on X , that is, $\eta(x)(f) = \eta(f \circ i_x)$ for $f \in C_b(X)$. Note that if η is K -conjugate invariant, then $k\eta(x) = \eta(kx)$ for $k \in K$, and hence, $\eta(y)$ is M -invariant for $y \in Y^\circ$. It then follows that $\eta(y_1)(\cdot | y_2)$ is M -invariant and hence $J_2\eta(y_1)(\cdot | y_2)$ is an M -invariant probability measure on Z .

The points in the Poisson random measure N are the pairs (τ, σ) , where $\tau > 0$ is a jump time and $\sigma \in G$ is the associated jump size of process x_t in the sense that $x_\tau = \sigma x_{\tau-}$. Under the decomposition $x = zy$ for $x \in X^\circ$ with $y = J(x)$ and $z = J_2(x)$,

$$z_\tau y_\tau = \sigma z_{\tau-} y_{\tau-} = S(z_{\tau-}) \sigma' y_{\tau-} = S(z_{\tau-}) [J_2(\sigma' y_{\tau-})] [J(\sigma' y_{\tau-})], \quad (23)$$

where $\sigma' = S(z_{\tau-})^{-1} \sigma S(z_{\tau-})$ and S is a section map on $Z = K/M$. Because σ is independent of $x_{\tau-}$ and its distribution $\eta/\eta(G)$ is K -conjugate invariant, σ' has the same distribution as σ and is independent of $x_{\tau-}$, and hence $(\sigma' y_{\tau-})$ has distribution $\eta(y_{\tau-})(\cdot)/\eta(y_{\tau-})(X)$. By (23) and the uniqueness of the decomposition $x = zy$, $J(\sigma' y_{\tau-}) = y_\tau$ and $S(z_{\tau-}) [J_2(\sigma' y_{\tau-})] = z_\tau$.

Thus, the jump of process z_t at time τ is $z_{\tau-}^{-1}z_\tau = S(z_{\tau-})^{-1}z_\tau = J_2(\sigma'y_{\tau-})$. Here the product on $Z = K/M$ should be understood in the sense described in section 3,

Note that almost surely, x_t and hence y_t have finitely many jumps for $0 \leq t \leq T$. Given y_t in Y° for $0 \leq t \leq T$ with jumps at fixed times $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k$ means three things: First, the Poisson random measure N is conditioned to have points (t_i, σ_i) for $1 \leq i \leq k$ with σ_i satisfying $J(S(z_{t-})^{-1}\sigma_i S(z_{t-})y_{t-}) = y_t$ for $t = t_i$; secondly, at all other points (τ, σ) of N , $J(S(z_{\tau-})^{-1}\sigma S(z_{\tau-})y_\tau) = y_\tau$; and finally, y_t^0 is given on each time interval $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$. Note that when N is conditioned to have points (t_i, σ_i) for $1 \leq i \leq k$, its remaining points form a Poisson random measure N' of same distribution as N . On the time interval $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$, the conditioned angular process z_t may be obtained from the diffusion process z_t^0 by adding jumps from N' . It follows that given y_t in Y° for $0 \leq t \leq T$, the conditioned process z_t , as a nonhomogeneous Lévy process in $Z = K/M$, has possible fixed jumps $z_{\tau-}^{-1}z_\tau$, at $\tau = t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k$, of distribution $J_2\eta(y_{\tau-})(\cdot \mid y_\tau)$ (this measure may be equal to δ_o and then there is no fixed jump at τ), its remaining jumps form a Poisson random measure N^Z on $[0, T] \times Z$ with intensity measure $\mu(dt, dz) = dt[J_2\eta(y_t)(\cdot; Ky_t)](dz)$. The Lévy measure function of z_t is $\Pi(t, \cdot) = \int_0^t \mu(ds, \cdot)$. Its covariance operator is the same as that of z_t^0 obtained earlier (but with y_t^0 replaced by y_t). To summarize, we have the following result.

Theorem 5 *Let x_t be a K -invariant Markov process in X obtained from a K -invariant diffusion process x_t^0 interlaced with jumps given by a Poisson random measure N on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times G$ with intensity measure $dt\eta(d\sigma)$ as described above, where G is a topological group acting continuously on X and containing K as a topological subgroup, and η is a finite K -conjugate invariant measure on G . Then x_t is a Feller process in X with generator given by (22).*

Assume x_t^0 has a nondegenerate radial part and $x_0 \in X^\circ$. Let $x_t = z_t y_t$ be the decomposition into radial process y_t in Y° and angular process z_t in K/M for $t < \zeta$, where ζ is the exit time of x_t from X° or y_t from Y° , and let $L_2^Z(y)$ be the part of generator L^0 of x_t^0 given in (17). Fix $T > 0$. Then almost surely on $[\zeta > T]$, given y_t for $0 \leq t \leq T$ with jumps at $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k$, the conditioned process z_t is a nonhomogeneous Lévy process in K/M with possible fixed jumps $z_{\tau-}^{-1}z_\tau$ at $\tau = t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k$ of distribution $J_2\eta(y_{\tau-})(\cdot \mid y_\tau)$, covariance operator $V(t) = \int_0^t L_2^Z(y_s)ds$ and Lévy measure function $\Pi(t, dz) = \int_0^t ds [J_2\eta(y_s)(\cdot; Ky_s)](dz)$.

References

- [1] Applebaum, D. and Kunita, H., “Lévy flows on manifolds and Lévy processes on Lie groups”, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 33, pp 1105-1125 (1993).
- [2] Feinsilver, P., “Processes with independent increments on a Lie group”, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 242, 73-121 (1978).

- [3] Galmarino, A.R., “Representation of an isotropic diffusion as a skew product”, Z. Wahr 1, 359-378 (1963).
- [4] Helgason, S., “Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces”, Academic Press (1978).
- [5] Helgason, S., “Groups and geometric analysis”, American Math. Soc. (2000).
- [6] Heyer, H. and Pap, G., “Convolution hemigroups of bounded variation on a Lie projective group,” J. London Math. Soc. 59, 369-84 (1999).
- [7] Hunt, G.A., “Semigroups of measures on Lie groups”, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 81, 264-293 (1956).
- [8] Kallenberg, O., “Foundations of modern probability”, Springer (1997).
- [9] Kobayashi, S. and Nomizu, K., “Foundations of differential geometry, vol I”, Interscience Publishers (1963)
- [10] Liao, M., “Lévy processes in Lie groups”, Cambridge Univ. Press (2004).
- [11] Pauwels, E.J. and Rogers, L.C.G., “Skew-product decompositions of Brownian motions”, Contemp. Math. 73, Amer. Math. Soc., 237-262 (1988).